Case 5:09-cv-02889-JW Document 104 Filed 10/05/10 Page 1 of 2

1	Eric H. Gibbs (State Bar No. 178658)		
2	ehg@girardgibbs.com Dylan Hughes (State Bar No. 209113)		
3	dsh@girardgibbs.com		
4	Geoffrey A. Munroe (State Bar No. 228590) gam@girardgibbs.com		
5	GIRARD GIBBS LLP		
6	601 California Street, 14th Floor San Francisco, California 94108		
7	Telephone: (415) 981-4800 Facsimile: (415) 981-4846		
8			
9	Interim Class Counsel		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	SAN	JOSE DIVISION	
12			
13	In re Intel Laptop Battery Litigation	Case No. 09-CV-02889-JW (PVT)	
14		STIPULATION AND [PROPUSED]	
15		ORDER EXTENDING PLAINTIFFS' TIME TO OPPOSE DEFENDANT INTEL'S MOTION	
16		TO SEAL	
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	1		

STIPULATION AND ORDER EXTENDING PLAINTIFFS' TIME TO OPPOSE MOTION TO SEAL CASE NO. 09-CV-02889-JW

1	WHEREAS, Defendant Intel Corporation has filed a motion to seal its Motions for Summary		
2	Judgment and the declaration of Herman de Hoop filed in connection with that motion [Doc. 89];		
3	WHEREAS, the parties' previous stipulation afforded Plaintiffs until October 4, 2010, to file an		
4	opposition to Intel's motion to seal;		
5	WHEREAS, the Court has recently granted the parties' request to suspend briefing of the		
6	pending summary judgment motions as well as of class certification in order to further pursue settlement		
7	negotiations [Doc. 102]; and		
8	WHEREAS, Plaintiffs seek additional time to oppose Intel's motion to seal while settlement		
9	negotiations are ongoing,		
10	NOW THEREFORE, the parties stipulate that, subject to the Court's approval, that Plaintiffs'		
11	time to oppose Intel's motion to seal [Doc. 89] shall be extended to October 22, 2010.		
12	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
13	3		
14	DATED: October 4, 2010	By: /s/ Eric H. Gibbs	
15	5	GIRARD GIBBS LLP Interim Class Counsel	
16	\mathfrak{s}		
17	DATED: October 4, 2010	By: /s/ Raoul D. Kennedy	
18	3	SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP	
19	•	Attorney for Defendant Intel Corporation	
20			
21	DATED: October 4, 2010	By: /s/ Perry J. Narancic NARANCIC & KATZMAN, PC	
22		Attorney for Defendant Business Applications	
23	3	Performance Corporation	
24	<u>ORDER</u>		
25	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED:		
26	5		
27	DATE: October 5, 2010	and he	
28		N. JAMES WARE ited States District Judge	
		1	